



ASC 606 / IFRS 15 Implementation Insights

Since the new revenue standard was released in May 2014, companies have been hard at work to ensure compliance. Its requirements have driven organizations to track revenue at more detailed levels than they have previously. The related system implementation to achieve this detail can be more cumbersome and more difficult than anticipated. Deloitte and Aptitude Software have supported multiple such implementations and have compiled some key insights.

Deloitte and Aptitude Software Alliance

Deloitte and Aptitude Software have developed an effective and exciting alliance based on successful solution implementations for finance teams across industries. This alliance was developed and grown in the USA but has since flourished globally with Deloitte now having the most Aptitude Software accredited resources in the global market. Deloitte and Aptitude Software have complementary capabilities and specialties, which means that our clients benefit from well-rounded experience.

In 2017, after over twenty years of serving CFOs and financial controllers, Aptitude Software acquired RevStream to add to their revenue management portfolio in serving the Technology, Media and Telecom (TMT) sector.

Customers choose Aptitude Software’s revenue recognition solutions to solve the immediate ASC 606 / IFRS 15 compliance challenges as well as to take advantage of significant long-term benefits of revenue management and automation. This empowers finance teams to move beyond traditional accounting roles and provide strategic value through audit-friendly transparency, added insights & reporting, and complete configuration control of their revenue streams. Aptitude RevStream allows customers to quickly adapt to ever-changing financial standards, large scale growth, and increasingly complex business models.

Deloitte has deep global experience in revenue recognition, including a dedicated revenue recognition team of over 100+ practitioners in the USA and India, 50+ trained in Aptitude Software methodology and tools currently as of 2017. Deloitte maintains a robust inventory of templates, work products, designs, and other tools designed to accelerate implementation efforts related to revenue recognition.

Deloitte is an important alliance in this functional and accounting area and we have worked together with Deloitte on multiple engagements.

Aptitude Software and Deloitte Announce Alliance
Tom Crawford, CEO, Aptitude Software

Deloitte Experience & Insights

Deloitte and Aptitude Software have worked together on multiple engagements, assisting clients of various sizes across the globe to ensure compliance with the ASC 606 / IFRS 15 revenue standard; these efforts would implement the Aptitude Revenue Recognition Engine or the Aptitude RevStream solution of which several examples are below.

North America Based

Customer Volume*	Accounting Scenarios	Source Systems	Rough Cost
3M	35-45	3	\$\$
7M	40-50	1	\$\$\$
12M	65-85	1	\$\$\$
75M	50-60	8	\$\$\$\$

Other Global Entities

Customer Volume*	Accounting Scenarios	Source Systems	Rough Cost
2M	35-45	4	\$\$
17M	30-40	5	\$\$\$\$
20M	70-80	6	\$\$\$\$

*Customer volume represents the company’s subscriber basis

From this experience, we have concluded that several key factors drive complexity, and thus impact overall program costs. In the following sections, these key factors are explored in more detail.

The intersection between accounting positions and source system data is critical. Together, they support one another, meaning if one breaks, the other must adjust to pick up slack. Typically, data limitations force companies to reconsider their accounting policies and find solutions with minimal system impact. Thus, flexibility in these areas provides options when addressing unforeseen future scenarios.




Exhibit 1: The balance between Accounting & Data

- **Optimize the number of sources** for simplicity
- **Transform data into a landing format** that is common across products, business unit, etc prior to assessment.
- **Capture results in a repository** for financial reporting

Quality & Availability

Accuracy and availability of data to make accounting decisions should be assessed early as organizations tend to be overconfident of data quality. Since poor quality data makes revenue decisions difficult or near impossible, this assessment should be conducted on the source systems prior to integration with the revenue recognition engine.

Anomaly situations identified early will make future phases of the project smoother; however, the vast potential for various situations can be overwhelming, so focus on covering the most common occurrences.




Exhibit 2: Compounding Complexity of the Project

The effort to assess availability and accuracy is often underestimated but is significant given the deep level of detail required. It is important to budget adequate time and resources as lack of attention at this stage will result in more errors during testing.

Testing

Testing is one of the most crucial phases of the project, requiring dedicated time and detailed consideration as it is the first chance to validate how the data and accounting positions align. Experience has shown that discrepancies tend to arise in testing because 100% data validation prior to testing is unrealistic; thus, conducting some form of 'data readiness' phase is strongly recommended to ease into the testing phase. A high-level illustration of recommended testing cycles, including order and descriptions, is provided.

Accounting Positions & Rules


Defining the accounting positions is the first step of a revenue recognition project and thus the first driver of complexity. These positions will define two critical items: a) product treatments and b) contract lifecycle events. Rules associated with these items should be documented clearly with specific outcomes, leaving no ambiguity or room for interpretation. Clarity at this stage allows for a cleaner alignment to data in the next phase. Any ambiguous positions at this stage will cause difficulty and confusion when translating to systemic rules in later phases.

Source System Data

Sourcing and combining data from multiple legacy systems that have been modified and updated repeatedly over time to make accounting decisions is a major challenge with associated integrity risk. The required data may be difficult to identify and validate, thereby rendering the overall data setup process cumbersome. Described below are two key pillars to consider.

Sourcing

Data that triggers contract lifecycle events, identifies performance obligations, and provides product level information will come from multiple sources. Some important considerations are as follows:



Note: This graphic outlines the most fundamental testing phases - it is not a comprehensive illustration. Other potential downstream phases include UAT and Parallel Testing.

Exhibit 3: Suggested Testing Cycles

For testing, the following considerations should be kept in mind:

- **Replicate data from production environment.** If production data is not available then realistic test data must be created for testing purposes. This process has been seen to take ample time so start early and validate the results with the business prior to using.
 - Using mocked up data is not ideal as it does not uncover the nuances in data situations that can occur in reality.
 - Replication of production data should be made available and used for the final testing cycles, taking into account the inherent security risks and potential business impact along with access controls. If those risks are too high, any realistic / production-like data should be used.
- **Develop testing strategy** including test plans and test cases should be developed early in the project lifecycle.
- **Consider environment availability** including resource constraints.
- **Allocate time between testing cycles** to allow for environment resets before the next cycle begins.

Use replicated production data for testing. From experience, new functional requirements always surface afterwards due to nuanced business situations and processes.

Conversion (i.e. Catch-Up)

The process of loading all historic data to obtain the opening balance under the new standard.

- a. Explore and **gather the necessary historical data early** to identify any data gaps that may need to be addressed by the business and could potentially lead to new accounting rules.
- b. Create **the necessary reference data early**. The effort to define any key manual reference data by the Business for the operation of the solution tends to be underestimated due to larger volumes than anticipated.
- c. **Test the conversion process early** with real data to identify any functional situations that may not have been handled. This testing will also serve as early performance testing to see how the solution fares with large data volumes.

Post Implementation Activities

So far, discussion has focused on the actual design and implementation of the solution. However, once those phases are completed, there are other important activities to consider and understand how future business decisions have an impact on maintaining the solution.

- 2) **Go-forward operations/maintenance** – once live, understand how daily business activities can impact the solution.
 - a. If new product bundle offerings are defined by marketing or new businesses acquired, these will introduce new functional requirements for the solution.
 - b. If future changes are needed, ensure appropriate ownership of the different solution components for updates.

Other Considerations

Team Structure

Because of the large impact of ASC 606 / IFRS 15, project teams don't often have relevant prior experience. Often the most efficient implementations include deep integration of Business and IT resources throughout the project on a daily basis, especially during testing phases. Everyday decisions require flexibility and compromise between the accounting positions and source data available; as a result, the optimal solution requires that decision-making is business-driven with heavy and frequent input from IT.

Reporting

Reporting can be forgotten at the wayside, so define reporting requirements early in the process so that there

is clarity about the specific data needed in the reporting repository and any downstream systems. Such items may impact inbound data requirements as well.

Contact us:

Raj Chhabra

Managing Director

Deloitte Consulting LLP

Tel: +1 734 646 3509

Email: rchhabra@deloitte.com

Nick Thomas

Aptitude Software | Aptitude RevStream

Global Alliance Director

Tel: +1 617 834 6125

Email: nick.thomas@aptitudesoftware.com

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Deloitte provides audit & assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients' most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte's approximately 245,000 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on Facebook, LinkedIn, or Twitter.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte Network") is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

Copyright © 2018 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.

Copyright © Aptitude Software Limited 2014 - 2018. All Rights Reserved. APTITUDE, APTITUDE ACCOUNTING HUB, APTITUDE ALLOCATION ENGINE, APTITUDE REVENUE RECOGNITION ENGINE and the Triangles device are trademarks of Aptitude Software Limited. MICROGEN is a trademark of Microgen plc. Aptitude – U.S. and European Patents Pending. For more information please refer to: <https://www.aptitudesoftware.com/patentsandtrademarks>

Copyright © 2018 Aptitude RevStream Inc.